



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012/AJEA/2303
Title of the Manuscript:	Multivariate Analysis of Phenotypic Diversity of Landraces of Rice of West Bengal.

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In this study, characterization of morphological traits of landraces of rice has been carried out and interrelationship among those morphological traits has been analysed. The methodology is available and phenotypic data of morphological traits is valid. Information in this study is helpful for rice breeders. The manuscript is qualified enough to be accepted. Still, some minor revisions are needed before publication. The abstract need to be rearranged and divided into three parts viz., aims, methodology, conclusion. About 4-8 keywords should be given. The type of the citations and references should be rechecked according to the guideline.	Respected Sir, Thank you very much for pointing out some minor loopholes. I have done minor revisions as you instructed up to my level best and highlighted the same with yellow colour. One thing, Sir, I want to bring to your kind attention regarding the pattern of reference; Initially I arranged my manuscript (specially the Reference part) following the pattern of one of your published articles in your journal. Now, I have arranged the same according to the template I have recently received for minor revision on behalf of the Editorial Board.
Minor REVISION comments	Both "agromorphological" (In the abstract, page 3 line 106, page 7 line 227, page 8 line 274, page 9 line 317, page 10 line 321, page 11 line 331) and "agro morphological" (page 9 line 307) have been used in the article, these words should be rechecked. # Page 3 line 97 "7.6°" should be "7.6°C"	I have put a dash, '-', in between 'agro' and 'morphological', i.e. agro-morphological in place of agromorphological. Done accordingly



SDI Review Form 1.6

	# Page 3 line 107 What's "LSD" here stands for? Non-standard abbreviations should be listed and full form of each abbreviation should be given in parentheses at first use in the text. # Page 9 Fig 1 Location of each cluster should be marked out in the figure. Caption of the figure should be typed separately, not on the figure area. Figure legends should be given below the figure. The type of the citations and references should be rechecked according to the guideline.	LSD stands for "Least Significant Difference". I have put the full form at the appropriate position. The ten groups have been shown in the figure. Citations have now been arranged according to the guidelines in the template sent to me on which I have prepared and placed my revised manuscript.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		